

Metadata Approval Sheet

Dear author,

Please check and complete carefully the author metadata listed below by using the editable fields.

Thanks for your kind cooperation,

De Gruyter

Journal: Forum Math.

DOI: 10.1515/forum-2017-0240

Article title: Global integrability for solutions to some anisotropic problem with nonstandard growth

Author 1:

First Name: Hongya

Surname: Gao

Corresponding author: yes

Institution: Hebei University

Department: College of Mathematics and Information Science

City: Baoding

Country: P. R. China

Address: College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P. R. China

Orcid: [empty]

E-mail: ghy@hbu.cn

Author 2:

First Name: Miaomiao

Surname: Jia

Corresponding author: no

Institution: Hebei University

Department: College of Mathematics and Information Science

City: Baoding

Country: P. R. China



Address: College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P. R. China

Orcid: [empty]

E-mail: 303286142@qq.com.....

Data checked and receipted: **Date:**

If you neither make any correction nor check the box, we have to presume that the given data are correct.

Research Article

Hongya Gao* and Miaomiao Jia

Global integrability for solutions to some anisotropic problem with nonstandard growth

<https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2017-0240>

Received November 10, 2017; revised December 3, 2017

Abstract: This paper deals with the problem

$$u \in \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega),$$

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i(x, Du) - f^i] D_i(u - v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(u - v) dx,$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in u_* + W_0^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega) : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du) D_i v \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } v \geq \psi, \text{ a.e. } \Omega \right\}, \\ u_* \in W^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega), \quad \theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\} \in u_* + W_0^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega), \\ f \in L^{(\bar{p}^*)'}(\Omega), \quad f^i \in L^{p'_i}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{array} \right.$$

and the Carathéodory functions $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfy some coercivity condition. We assume that the function $\theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\}$ makes $a_i(x, D\theta)$ to be more integrable than $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and then we prove that the solution u enjoys higher integrability.

Keywords: Global integrability, anisotropic problem, nonstandard growth**MSC 2010:** 49N60, 35J60**Communicated by:** Frank Duzaar

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, Ω **stands** for a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. For $p_1, \dots, p_n \in (1, +\infty)$, we let

$$\bar{p} : \frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad p'_i = \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}$$

be the harmonic mean of p_1, \dots, p_n and the Hölder conjugate of p_i , respectively. In this paper, we assume $\bar{p} < n$ and we introduce the Sobolev exponent $\bar{p}^* = \frac{n\bar{p}}{n-\bar{p}}$. The anisotropic Sobolev space $W^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$ is defined as usual by

$$W^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) : D_i v \in L^{p_i}(\Omega) \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

Note 1:
Should we replace
 \mapsto by \rightarrow in the
blue-marked
formula?

Note 2:
Throughout,
should we replace
 \mathbb{R} by \mathbb{R}^n ?

Note 3:
Red parts indicate
major changes.
Please check them
carefully.

***Corresponding author: Hongya Gao**, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P. R. China, e-mail: ghy@hbu.cn

Miaomiao Jia, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P. R. China, e-mail: 303286142@qq.com

and $W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm of $W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$, where for every function $v \in W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$,

$$\|v\|_{1,(p_i)} = \int_{\Omega} |v| \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{p_i} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

In this paper, we will need the anisotropic Sobolev Embedding Theorem, which can be found in [19].

Lemma 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , let p_1, \dots, p_n be in $[1, +\infty)$ and let $w \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$. If $\bar{p} < n$, then $w \in L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)$ with*

$$\|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)} \leq c_* \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \|D_i w\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and

$$\|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)} \leq c_* \sum_{i=1}^n \|D_i w\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)},$$

where

$$c_* = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ 1 + \bar{p}^* \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \right\}.$$

Remark 1.2. A similar embedding, suitable for functions not vanishing on the boundary, is contained in [1, Lemma 2.1].

Remark 1.3. Lemma 1.1 implies, for $w \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$ and $\bar{p} < n$,

$$\|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)} \leq c_* \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} |D_i w|^{p_i} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq c_* \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |D_j w|^{p_j} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = c_* \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |D_j w|^{p_j} \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}}.$$

We will use this result in the proof of the main theorem.

For every $k > 0$, let $T_k : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be the truncation function of level k , that is,

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \cdot \text{sign}(s) & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

For every $\lambda > 0$, the weak Lebesgue space, known also as the Marcinkiewicz space, $L_{\text{weak}}^\lambda(\Omega)$, is defined as the set of all measurable functions $v : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sup_{s>0} s^\lambda |\{ |v| \geq s \}| < +\infty,$$

where $|E|$ is the Lebesgue measure of E . Note that if $f \in L_{\text{weak}}^\lambda(\Omega)$ for some $\lambda > 1$, then $f \in L^\tau(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq \tau < \lambda$.

Let $a_i(x, z) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be measurable with respect to x and continuous with respect to z , and let it satisfy the following coercivity condition: there exist a function $g : \Omega \mapsto [0, +\infty)$ and a constant $\nu > 0$ such that the inequality

$$\nu \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|^{p_i} - g(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(x, z) - a_i(x, \tilde{z}))(z_i - \tilde{z}_i) \quad (1.1)$$

holds true for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and all $z, \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $u \in W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$. Let u_*, ψ be two functions such that $u_* \in W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$, $\theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\} \in u_* + W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du) D_i \theta \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.2)$$

We introduce the set

$$\mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in u_* + W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du) D_i v \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } v \geq \psi, \text{ a.e. } \Omega \right\}.$$

Note 4:
Do you comply
with our proposed
running title?

Let $f \in L^{(\bar{p}^*)}'(\Omega)$ and $f^i \in L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Consider the following problem:

$$u \in \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i(x, Du) - f^i] D_i(u - v) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(u - v) dx. \quad (1.4)$$

By assumption (1.3) and $\theta \in u_* + W_0^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$, we have $u - \theta \in W_0^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$ for a solution of problem (1.3)–(1.4); Lemma 1.1 shows that $u - \theta \in L^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega)$. Now we ask the following question: if $\theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\}$ makes $a_i(x, D\theta)$ more integrable than $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ requires, does the solution u of problem (1.3)–(1.4) enjoy higher integrability? The answer is positive and we prove the following result.

Note 5:
Do you agree with the new phrasing which improves the line breaks?

Note 6:
Throughout, we wrote problem (1.3)–(1.4) in this form with a dash.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\sigma > 1$. Assume that $g \in L^{\sigma}(\Omega)$, $f \in L^{(\bar{p}^*)}'(\Omega)$ and $f^i \in L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\}$ be such that $a_i(x, D\theta) \in L^{p_i^{\sigma}}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. If u satisfies (1.3) and (1.4), then the following assertions hold:

(i) If $\sigma < \frac{n}{\bar{p}}$, then

$$u - \theta \in L_{\text{weak}}^{\frac{n\bar{p}\sigma}{n-\bar{p}\sigma}}(\Omega).$$

Note 7:
Throughout, we displayed some formulas.

(ii) If $\sigma = \frac{n}{\bar{p}}$, then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $e^{\alpha|u-\theta|} \in L^1(\Omega)$.

(iii) If $\sigma > \frac{n}{\bar{p}}$, then $u - \theta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

In Theorem 1.4, we do not assume that the Carathéodory functions $a_i(x, z)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfy any controllable growth condition, which is standard to derive regularity results. Leonetti and Petricca [14] considered the variational integral

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, Du(x)) dx \quad (1.5)$$

with nonstandard growth condition, and obtained a regularity result for minimizers of (1.5). Kovalevsky [12] studied the variational problem (1.3)–(1.4) under some coercivity and growth conditions, and obtained some regularity results. We should mention that Gorban and Kovalevsky [10] obtained some boundedness results for a class of degenerate anisotropic elliptic second-order variational inequalities. For some other results related to anisotropic elliptic equations, anisotropic integral functionals and anisotropic variational inequalities, we refer to [6–9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18].

In the present paper, we consider the variational problem (1.3)–(1.4), which is closely related to the equation

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n D_i(a_i(x, Du(x))) = -\sum_{i=1}^n D_i f^i(x) + f(x).$$

Following the idea of [14], we derive Theorem 1.4, which shows that if $\theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\}$ makes $a_i(x, D\theta)$ to be more integrable than $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ requires, then the solution of problem (1.3)–(1.4) is more integrable.

We now give some examples for which the control from below is different from the control from above.

Example 1.5. We start from functionals

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, Du(x)) dx$$

Note 8:
Throughout, we deleted all unused labels.

and we take $a_i(x, z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(x, z)$. An interesting choice is

$$f_{\max}(z) = |z|^2 + [\max\{z_n; 0\}]^q,$$

where $2 < q$; see [17]. This gives the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_{\max}(u) = \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^2 + [\max\{D_n u; 0\}]^q) dx.$$

Minimizers make the energy $\mathcal{F}_{\max}(u)$ finite. Then

$$f_{\max}(Du) \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.6)$$

When $a_i(z) = \frac{\partial f_{\max}}{\partial z_i}(z)$, we have

$$0 \leq 2f_{\max}(z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(z)z_i \leq qf_{\max}(z),$$

so (1.6) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(Du)D_i u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Example 1.6. Another interesting choice is

$$f_{2,q}(x, z) = |z|^2 + a(x)|z|^q,$$

where $2 < q$ and $0 \leq a(x) \leq A$; see [3–5, 20, 21]. This choice gives the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,q}(u) = \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^2 + a(x)|Du|^q) dx.$$

Minimizers make the energy $\mathcal{F}_{2,q}(u)$ finite. **Then**

$$f_{2,q}(x, Du) \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.7)$$

When $a_i(x, z) = \frac{\partial f_{2,q}}{\partial z_i}(x, z)$, we have

$$0 \leq 2f_{2,q}(x, z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, z)z_i \leq qf_{2,q}(x, z),$$

so (1.7) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du)D_i u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Example 1.7. Finally, let us take

$$f_{2,\log}(x, z) = |z|^2 + a(x)|z|^2 \ln(e + |z|),$$

where $0 \leq a(x) \leq A$; see [2]. This choice gives the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,\log}(u) = \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^2 + a(x)|Du|^2 \ln(e + |Du|)) dx.$$

Minimizers make the energy $\mathcal{F}_{2,\log}(u)$ finite. **Then**

$$f_{2,\log}(x, Du) \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.8)$$

When $a_i(x, z) = \frac{\partial f_{2,\log}}{\partial z_i}(x, z)$, we have

$$0 \leq 2f_{2,\log}(x, z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, z)z_i \leq 3f_{2,\log}(x, z),$$

so (1.8) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du)D_i u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Remark 1.8. The solution u verifies (1.3) **which** requires

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du)D_i u \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.9)$$

The test function v satisfies (1.4); the first part of (1.4) requires

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du)D_i v \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (1.10)$$

When $a_i(x, z)$ verifies the control from above

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i(x, z)|^{p_i/(p_i-1)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^{p_i} + g_2(x)$$

with $g_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$, then the standard assumption $u, v \in W^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$ guarantees both (1.9) and (1.10).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we need a preliminary lemma, which can be found in [12].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $w \in W_0^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$, and let $M > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $k_0 \geq 0$. Let for every $k > k_0$,*

$$\int_{\{|w| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i w|^{p_i} dx \leq M |\{|w| \geq k\}|^{\frac{\gamma p}{p^*}}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) *If $\gamma < 1$, then*

$$w \in L_{\text{weak}}^{\frac{p^*}{1-\gamma}}(\Omega).$$

(ii) *If $\gamma = 1$, then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $e^{\alpha|w|} \in L^1(\Omega)$.*

(iii) *If $\gamma > 1$, then $w \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

We want to use Lemma 2.1 with $w = u - \theta$. **To this end**, we define a test function v as

$$v = \theta + T_k(u - \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta + k & \text{if } u - \theta \geq k, \\ u & \text{if } |u - \theta| < k, \\ \theta - k & \text{if } u - \theta \leq -k. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For $u \in \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega)$, we have to show that $v \in \mathcal{K}_{u_*, \psi}(\Omega)$. In fact, it is obvious that $v \in W^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$. In order to prove $v \in u_* + W_0^{1, (p_i)}(\Omega)$, we notice that $\theta = \max\{u_*, \psi\} = u_* = u$ on $\partial\Omega$. **This** together with (2.1) implies $v = u = u_*$ on $\partial\Omega$. **In** order to prove $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du) D_i v \in L^1(\Omega)$, we notice that $Dv = Du$ on $\{|u - \theta| < k\}$ and $Dv = D\theta$ on $\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}$, thus $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du) D_i v \in L^1(\Omega)$ is guaranteed by $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, Du) D_i u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and (1.2). **In** order to prove $v \geq \psi$ a.e., we notice that in the first case of (2.1), $v = \theta + k \geq \theta \geq \psi$, in the second case of (2.1), $v = u \geq \psi$, and in the third case of (2.1), $v = \theta - k \geq u \geq \psi$.

Substituting v into (1.4) and noticing

$$D_i(u - v) = (D_i u - D_i \theta) \cdot 1_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} \quad \text{and} \quad u - v = 0 \text{ in } \{|u - \theta| < k\}, \quad (2.2)$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i(x, Du) - f^i] (D_i u - D_i \theta) dx \leq \int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} f(u - v) dx,$$

which together with (1.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{v} \left[\int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(x, Du) - a_i(x, D\theta)) (D_i u - D_i \theta) dx + \int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} g dx \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{v} \left[\int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n f^i (D_i u - D_i \theta) dx + \int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} f(u - v) dx - \int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, D\theta) (D_i u - D_i \theta) dx + \int_{\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}} g dx \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{v} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Our nearest goal is to estimate $|I_i|$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the Young and Hölder inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_1| &= \left| \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n f^i(D_i u - D_i \theta) dx \right| \\
 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |f^i|^{p'_i} dx + \varepsilon \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx \\
 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |f^i|^{p'_i \sigma} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \varepsilon \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx. \quad (2.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Remark 1.3, the Hölder and Young inequalities and (2.2), we estimate $|I_2|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| &= \left| \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} f(u - v) dx \right| \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |f|^{(\bar{p}^*)'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\bar{p}^*)'}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u - v|^{\bar{p}^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}^*}} \\
 &\leq c_* \|f\|_{L^{(\bar{p}^*)'}(\sigma(\Omega))} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma(\bar{p}^*)'}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |D_i(u-v)|^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}} \\
 &= c_* \|f\|_{L^{(\bar{p}^*)'}(\sigma(\Omega))} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma(\bar{p}^*)'}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p}}} \\
 &\leq c_* C(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^{(\bar{p}^*)'}(\sigma(\Omega))}^{(\bar{p})'} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{\frac{(\sigma-1)(\bar{p})'}{\sigma(\bar{p}^*)'}} + c_* \varepsilon \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

There exists $k_0 \geq 0$ such that for every $k > k_0$,

$$|\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}| \leq 1.$$

This together with the fact

$$\frac{(\bar{p})'}{(\bar{p}^*)'} = \frac{n\bar{p} - n + \bar{p}}{n(\bar{p} - 1)} > 1$$

implies for $k > k_0$,

$$|\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{\frac{(\sigma-1)(\bar{p})'}{\sigma(\bar{p}^*)'}} \leq |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}}.$$

Thus for $k > k_0$,

$$|I_2| \leq c_* C(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^{(\bar{p}^*)'}(\sigma(\Omega))}^{(\bar{p})'} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} + c_* \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |D_i(u-\theta)|^{p_i} dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Using the Young and Hölder inequalities, we estimate $|I_3|$ and I_4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_3| &= \left| - \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x, D\theta)(D_i u - D_i \theta) dx \right| \\
 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |a_i(x, D\theta)|^{p'_i} dx + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx \\
 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |a_i(x, D\theta)|^{p'_i \sigma} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx \quad (2.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_4 = \int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} g dx \leq \left(\int_{\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}} g^{\sigma} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} |\{|u-\theta| \geq k\}|^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.4)–(2.7) into (2.3), and taking ε small enough such that

$$\frac{1}{v}(2 + c_*)\varepsilon < 1,$$

we then derive for $k > k_0$ that

$$\int_{\{|u-\theta|\geq k\}} \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u - D_i \theta|^{p_i} dx \leq C |\{|u - \theta| \geq k\}|^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}},$$

where C is a constant depending only on $v, n, p_1, \dots, p_n, \|f^i\|_{p'_i\sigma}, \|f\|_{(\bar{p}^*)'\sigma}, \|a_i(x, D\theta)\|_{p'_i\sigma}$ and $\|g\|_{\sigma}$.

Now we define γ by

$$1 - \frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{\gamma \bar{p}}{\bar{p}^*}.$$

Since $u - \theta \in W_0^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$, we can apply Lemma 2.1 with $\gamma = \frac{n(\sigma-1)}{\sigma(n-\bar{p})}$. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgment: Both authors would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions and comments.

Funding: The first author was supported by NSFC (grant no. 11371050) and NSF of Hebei Province (grant no. A2015201149).

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and N. Fusco, Partial regularity under anisotropic (p, q) growth conditions, *J. Differential Equations* **107** (1994), 46–67.
- [2] P. Baroni, M. Colombo and G. Mingione, Non-autonomous functionals, borderline cases and related functional spaces, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **27** (2016), 347–379.
- [3] M. Colombo and G. Mingione, Regularity for double phase variational problems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **215** (2015), 443–496.
- [4] L. Esposito, F. Leonetti and G. Mingione, Sharp regularity for functionals with (p, q) growth, *J. Differential Equations* **204** (2004), 5–55.
- [5] I. Fonseca, J. Maly and G. Mingione, Scalar minimizers with fractal singular sets, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **172** (2004), 295–307.
- [6] H. Y. Gao, Regularity for solutions to anisotropic obstacle problems, *Sci. China Math.* **57** (2014), 111–122.
- [7] H. Y. Gao and Y. M. Chu, *Quasiregular Mappings and \mathcal{A} -Harmonic Equation*, Science Press, Beijing, 2013.
- [8] H. Y. Gao, Q. H. Di and D. N. Ma, Integrability for solutions to some anisotropic obstacle problems, *Manuscripta Math.* **146** (2015), no. 3–4, 433–444.
- [9] H. Y. Gao, C. Liu and H. Tian, Remarks on a paper by Leonetti and Siepe, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **401** (2013), 881–887.
- [10] Y. S. Gorban and A. A. Kovalevsky, On the boundedness of solutions of degenerate anisotropic elliptic variational inequalities, *Results Math.* **65** (2014), 121–142.
- [11] A. Innamorati and F. Leonetti, Global integrability for weak solutions to some anisotropic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **113** (2015), 430–434.
- [12] A. A. Kovalevsky, Integrability and boundedness of solutions to some anisotropic problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **432** (2015), 820–843.
- [13] F. Leonetti and E. Mascolo, Local boundedness for vector valued minimizers of anisotropic functionals, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **31** (2012), 357–378.
- [14] F. Leonetti and P. V. Petricca, Regularity for minimizers of integrals with nonstandard growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* **129** (2015), 258–264.
- [15] F. Leonetti and F. Siepe, Integrability for solutions to some anisotropic elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012), 2867–2873.
- [16] F. Leonetti and F. Siepe, Global integrability for minimizers of anisotropic functionals, *Manuscripta Math.* **144** (2014), 91–98.
- [17] Q. Tang, Regularity of minimizers of non-isotropic integrals of the calculus of variations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **164** (1993), 77–87.
- [18] Y. X. Tong, X. J. Xu and J. T. Gu, *\mathcal{A} -Harmonic Equations and Obstacle Problems*, Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2016.
- [19] M. Troisi, Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi, *Ric. Mat.* **18** (1969), 3–24.
- [20] V. Zhikov, On Lavrentiev's phenomenon, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1995), 249–269.
- [21] V. Zhikov, On some variational problems, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1997), 105–116.

Note 9:
The bibliography
has been cross-
checked and
updated with
MathSciNet. Since
entries may be
mismatched,
please carefully
double-check each
entry.