

# ESTIMATES FOR $p$ -POISSON EQUATIONS

TERO KILPELÄINEN AND LI, GONGBAO

ABSTRACT. We derive estimates for solutions to the equations like

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = f,$$

where  $f$  belongs to weak  $L^q$  spaces. As applications of our results we show that the entropy solutions of

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = |u|^{a-1}u$$

are regular provided that  $0 \leq a < n(p-1)/(n-p)$ .

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we let  $\Omega$  stand for a bounded open set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $1 < p \leq n$  (we usually have  $p < n$ , for the case  $p = n$  is rather simple concerning the questions we consider).

We shall consider quasilinear operators

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u),$$

where  $\mathcal{A}: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a mapping that satisfies the following assumptions for some constants  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ :

- (1.1)      the function  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is measurable for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  
              the function  $\xi \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is continuous for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ;

for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

- (1.2)       $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^p,$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 35B45, 35B65, 31C45.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -Laplacian, Poisson equations,  $L^p$ -estimates.

The research of the first author is financed by the Academy of Finland (project #8597), the second author is partially supported by NSFC and the Academy of Finland.

and

$$(1.3) \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \beta |\xi|^{p-1}.$$

A principal example is the  $p$ -Laplacian

$$\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . We consider the problem

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and our goal is to find estimates for  $u$  and  $\nabla u$  in terms of the weak  $L^q$  norm of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is only an  $L^1$  function, some remarks concerning the concept of the solution are needed; however, since we are going to prove estimates that are independent of the a priori regularity of the solution, one may proceed by proving such estimates for honest distributional solutions in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and reach the desired estimates by an approximation. We shall use the concept of an entropy solution that was introduced by Benilan *et al.* in [2], where the existence and uniqueness of such a solution was also established. A function  $u$  is called an *entropy solution* of the problem 1.4 if the truncations  $T_k(u)$  belong to  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for each  $k > 0$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla T_k(u - \varphi) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} T_k(u - \varphi) f \, dx$$

for each  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Here and in what follows  $T_k$  is the truncation operator at level  $k$ ,  $T_k(s) = \min(1, k/|s|)s$ . Note that an entropy solution is always a solution in the sense of distributions; here we use the definition

$$\nabla u(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla T_k(u)(x),$$

which is a.e. well defined. This gradient  $\nabla u$  need not be distributional, however if  $u$  has a distributional gradient in  $L^1$  (and for  $p > 2 - 1/n$   $u$  will have), then this new gradient is distributional. This all is quite simple; the reader may consult [2] or [9] for more details. What we really need is to be able to use truncations of  $u$  as test functions for equation (1.4). Some people prefer using a slightly different notion of a solution, called *renormalized* solution; see e.g. [12], [3]. In our case, where  $f \in L^1$  renormalized and entropy solutions coincide.

We work in *weak*  $L^q$  spaces, known also as Marcinkiewicz spaces or Lorentz spaces  $L^{(q,\infty)}$ : if  $q > 1$ , then the space  $\text{weak-}L^q(\Omega)$  consists of measurable functions  $g$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{t>0} t |\{x \in \Omega : |g(x)| > t\}|^{1/q} < \infty.$$

This condition is equivalently stated as

$$(1.6) \quad |||g|||_q = \sup_{\substack{E \subset \Omega \\ |E|>0}} \frac{1}{|E|^{1/q'}} \int_E |g| \, dx < \infty,$$

where  $q'$  is the conjugated exponent of  $q$ ,  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ . It is a rather easy exercise to prove that  $\text{weak } -L^q(\Omega)$  is a Banach space under  $||| \cdot |||_q$  and, moreover, if the supremum in (1.5) is denoted by  $A$ , then

$$A \leq |||g|||_q \leq q' A.$$

For a detailed analysis of weak  $L^q$  spaces we refer to [16]. Note that both (1.5) and (1.6) make sense also for  $q = 1$ ; however then the latter is strictly stronger condition and coincides with the definition of  $L^1$ .

The following is our main result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Suppose that  $f \in \text{weak } -L^q(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$  and that  $u$  is the entropy solution of (1.4).*

i) *If  $1 \leq q < n/p$  and*

$$\gamma = \frac{nq(p-1)}{n-pq},$$

*then  $u \in \text{weak } -L^\gamma(\Omega)$  and*

$$|||u|||_\gamma \leq c |||f|||_q^{1/(p-1)},$$

*where  $c = c(\alpha, n, p, q) > 0$ .*

ii) *If  $1 \leq q < p^{*'} and$*

$$s = q^*(p-1) = \frac{nq(p-1)}{n-q},$$

*then  $\nabla u \in \text{weak } -L^s(\Omega)$  and*

$$|||\nabla u|||_s \leq c |||f|||_q^{1/(p-1)};$$

*here  $c = c(\alpha, n, p, q) > 0$ .*

iii) *If  $q > p^{*'} , then  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and$*

$$||\nabla u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c |||f|||_q^{1/(p-1)},$$

*where  $c = c(\alpha, n, p, q, |\Omega|) > 0$ .*

Here, as usually,  $p^* = np/(n-p)$  is the Sobolev conjugate of  $p$ . An easy calculation shows that if we denote  $\gamma = nq(p-1)/(n-pq)$  as above, then

$$q < p^{*'} \Leftrightarrow \gamma < q' \Leftrightarrow \gamma < p^*,$$

and this is further equivalent to

$$s = q^*(p-1) < p.$$

As to related results, Del Vecchio [4] proved that if  $f$  is in the Lorentz space  $L(q, q^*)$ , then the solution  $u$  is in  $W^{1,q^*(p-1)}$ .

If  $q = n/p$ , then it follows from iii) and [17, 4.2] that  $u$  is locally in  $BMO$ . The endpoint case  $q = p^{*'}$  in ii) seems much harder. However, the methods of [7]

might appear useful in trying to show that, then the gradient of solution lies in the weak- $L^p$ .

If  $q > n/p$ , then the solution is bounded. Indeed, it follows from iii) that then an entropy solution is an ordinary  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -solution. Hence the boundedness goes back to works of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva, and Serrin (see e.g. [11, Ch. 4 Thm 7.1] and [15]).

In the case iii) one cannot expect obtaining higher integrability exponent than  $p$  that wouldn't depend on the domain  $\Omega$ , cf. [8, Remark 4.8.c]. Of course, in the setting of iii) one has that locally  $\nabla u$  is  $s$ -integrable for some  $s > p$  by [13].

In section 2 we give examples showing that Theorem 1.7 is optimal.

Our methods develop further ideas from [10].

We apply Theorem 1.7 to investigate the regularity of solutions to the problem

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) = |u|^{a-1}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ |u|^a \in L^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We show:

**Theorem 1.9.** *If  $u$  is the entropy solution of (1.8) and  $0 \leq a < n(p-1)/(n-p)$ , then  $u$  is locally Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$ . In the case of smooth  $\mathcal{A}$ , in particular for the  $p$ -Laplacian,  $u$  is in fact in  $C_{loc}^{1,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

The key point in Theorem 1.9 is to show that the solution  $u$  is bounded, whence  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  by (1.8). After this, the Hölder regularity and the  $C^{1,\varepsilon}$  regularity (which holds as soon as  $\mathcal{A}$  is Hölder continuous in  $x$ ) follow from known results [15] and [5], [6]. Also the corresponding global results could be stated in smooth domains, but we leave their formulation to the reader.

The critical exponent  $a_c = n(p-1)/(n-p)$  in Theorem 1.9 is truly critical. We shall show in Example 3.1 below that in the supercritical case  $a > a_c$  there are singular (unbounded) entropy solutions of

$$-\Delta_p(u) = u^a.$$

However, if we assume *a priori* that  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , then every solution of (1.8) is regular (i.e. Hölder continuous) if

$$a \leq p^* - 1 = a_c + \frac{p}{n-p};$$

see [11, Ch. 4 Thm 7.1] for subcritical case and [18] for the critical case. Moreover, in the  $p$ -Laplacian case one can easily prove that there always exists a nontrivial regular solution of (1.8) if

$$0 \leq a < p^* - 1, \quad a \neq p - 1,$$

where the latter case corresponds to the eigenvalue problem. In the critical case  $a = a_c$  we do not know whether there exists singular solutions if  $p \neq 2$ . For linear equations  $p = 2$  such a solution was first found by Aviles [1]. Examples with large singular sets have been constructed by Pacard [14].

## 2. Weak $L^q$ estimates

In this section we derive estimates that yield Theorem 1.7. Throughout the section we let  $u$  be an entropy solution of (1.4). First we prove a few lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $v \in L^1(\Omega)$  be such that*

$$k^b |\{|v| > 2k\}| \leq A |\{|v| > k\}|^a$$

*for all  $k > 0$ , where  $b > 0$  and  $0 \leq a < 1$ . Then  $v \in \text{weak } -L^{b/(1-a)}(\Omega)$  and*

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{b/(1-a)} |\{|v| > t\}| \leq A^{1/(1-a)} 2^{b(1-a)^{-2}}.$$

*Proof.* By induction we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{|v| > t\}| &= 2^b t^{-b} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^b |\{|v| > t\}| \\ &\leq 2^b t^{-b} A |\{|v| > t/2\}|^a \\ &\leq A \sum_{j=1}^k a^{j-1} 2^b \sum_{j=1}^k j a^{j-1} t^{-b \sum_{j=1}^k a^{j-1}} |\{|v| > 2^{-k} t\}|^{a^k}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to

$$A^{1/(1-a)} 2^{b(1-a)^{-2}} t^{-b/(1-a)},$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . □

In what follows  $1 < p < n$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $k > 0$ , then*

$$\alpha \int_{\{k < |u| < 2k\}} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq k |\{|u| > k\}|^{1/q'} |||f|||_q$$

and

$$k^{p^*/p'} |\{|u| > 2k\}| \leq c |\{|u| > k\}|^{p^*/pq'} |||f|||_q^{p^*/p},$$

here the constant  $c$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $p$ , and  $1/\alpha$ .

*Proof.* We use  $v = T_k(u - T_k(u))$ ,  $k > 0$  as a test function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\{k < |u| < 2k\}} |\nabla u|^p dx &= \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx \leq k \int_{\{|u| > k\}} |f| dx \\ &\leq k |\{|u| > k\}|^{1/q'} |||f|||_q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the Sobolev inequality

$$k |\{|u| > 2k\}|^{1/p^*} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \leq c k^{1/p} |\{|u| > k\}|^{1/pq'} |||f|||_q^{1/p},$$

and the lemma follows. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that*

$$\sup_{t>0} t^\gamma |\{|u| > t\}| \leq B$$

for some  $0 \leq \gamma < q'$ . Then

$$\alpha \int_{\{|u|<k\}} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq c B^{1/q'} k^{1-\gamma/q'} |||f|||_q$$

for all  $k > 0$ ; here  $c$  depends only on  $\gamma$  and  $q$ .

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.2 and the assumption we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\{|u|<k\}} |\nabla u|^p dx &= \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{k2^{-j-1} < |u| < k2^{-j}\}} |\nabla u|^p dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k2^{-j-1} |\{|u| > k2^{-j-1}\}|^{1/q'} |||f|||_q \\ &\leq B^{1/q'} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (k2^{-j-1})^{1-\gamma/q'} |||f|||_q \\ &\leq \frac{B^{1/q'}}{2^{1-\gamma/q'} - 1} k^{1-\gamma/q'} |||f|||_q, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.**

*Proof of claim i):* By Lemma 2.2 the assumption of Lemma 2.1 holds with

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{p^*}{pq'} \\ b &= \frac{p^*}{p'} \\ A &= c |||f|||_q^{p^*/p}; \end{aligned}$$

here  $a < 1$  since  $q < n/p$ . Then

$$\frac{b}{1-a} = \frac{n(p-1)q'}{q'(n-p) - n} = \gamma,$$

and Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\sup_{t>0} t |\{|u| > k\}|^{1/\gamma} \leq c |||f|||_q^{(p^*/p)(1/b)} = c |||f|||_q^{1/(p-1)}.$$

The claim follows from the equivalence of (1.5) and (1.6).

*Proof of claim ii):* We obtain from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{|\nabla u| > t\}| &\leq |\{|u| > k\}| + t^{-p} \int_{\{|u|<k\}} |\nabla u|^p dx \\ &\leq |\{|u| > k\}| + ct^{-p} |||u|||_\gamma^{\gamma/q'} k^{1-\gamma/q'} |||f|||_q \\ &\leq |||u|||_\gamma^\gamma (k^{-\gamma} + ct^{-p} |||u|||_\gamma^{-\gamma/q'} |||f|||_q k^{1-\gamma/q'}). \end{aligned}$$

Next we minimize this in  $k$ , i.e. choose

$$k = \left( \frac{\gamma t^p |||u|||_\gamma^{\gamma/q}}{c |||f|||_q (1 - \gamma/q')} \right)^{q/(q+\gamma)},$$

and arrive at

$$|\{|\nabla u| > t\}| \leq |||u|||_\gamma^{\gamma(1-\gamma/(q+\gamma))} c t^{-p\gamma q/(q+\gamma)} |||f|||_q^{\gamma q/(q+\gamma)},$$

where  $c$  is a constant depending on  $n, p, q$  and  $\alpha$ . Now we observe that

$$\frac{p\gamma q}{q+\gamma} = \frac{nq(p-1)}{n-q} = q^*(p-1) = s,$$

and hence

$$|\{|\nabla u| > t\}| \leq c t^{-s} |||u|||_\gamma^{s/p} |||f|||_q^{s/p}.$$

The proof is complete since we have by i) that

$$|||u|||_\gamma \leq c |||f|||_q^{1/(p-1)}.$$

*Proof of claim iii):* By multiplying  $u$  with a constant we are free to assume that  $|||f|||_q = 1$ . Indeed, for  $\lambda = |||f|||_q^{1/(1-p)}$  the function  $\lambda u$  is an entropy solution of (1.4) with the mapping  $\mathcal{A}$  replaced by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x, \xi) = \lambda^{p-1} \mathcal{A}(x, \lambda^{-1} \xi)$$

and  $f$  replaced by

$$\frac{f}{|||f|||_q};$$

note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  satisfies exactly the same structural assumptions as  $\mathcal{A}$  does.

We also assume, as we may that  $q < p/n$  (observe that

$$|\Omega|^{1/q'} |||f|||_q \leq |\Omega|^{1/\bar{q}'} |||f|||_{\bar{q}}$$

if  $q \leq \bar{q}$ ). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} |\nabla u|^p dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla T_1(u) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} T_1(u) f dx \leq |\Omega|^{1/q'} |||f|||_q = |\Omega|^{1/q'} \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} |\nabla u|^p dx &\leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{2^j < |u| < 2^{j+1}\}} |\nabla u|^p dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j |\{|u| > 2^j\}|^{1/q'} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(1-\gamma/q')}; \end{aligned}$$

here the constant  $c$  comes from the fact that by i)  $u \in \text{weak-}L^\gamma(\Omega)$ , where

$$\gamma = nq(p-1)/(n-qp) > q'.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**2.4. Example.** Let  $u(x) = |x|^{-a} - 1$ ,  $a > 0$ . Then the truncations of  $u$  belong to  $W_0^{1,p}(B)$ , where  $B = B(0, 1)$  is the unit ball of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . A direct computation shows that

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)(x) = a^{p-1}(a(1-p) + n-p)|x|^{a(1-p)-p} = f(x)$$

if  $x \neq 0$ . Now we observe that  $f \in L^1(B)$  if and only if

$$a < \frac{n-p}{p-1}.$$

Then  $u$  is an entropy solution of

$$-\Delta_p(u) = f$$

in  $B$ . Moreover, it follows that if

$$a = \frac{n-pq}{q(p-1)},$$

then  $f \in \text{weak} -L^q(B)$  and  $u \in \text{weak} -L^\gamma(B)$  if and only if

$$\gamma \leq \frac{nq(p-1)}{n-qp}.$$

Furthermore,  $\nabla u \in \text{weak} -L^s(B)$  if and only if  $s \leq q^*(p-1)$ .

This shows that Theorem 1.7 is sharp.

### 3. Regularity of entropy solutions to equations $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = |u|^{a-1}u$

In this section we study the regularity of solutions to the entropy solutions of (1.8) and prove theorem 1.9.

**Proof of theorem 1.9.** We use a bootstrap argument. To start with we let  $f = |u|^{a-1}u$ . Since  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  we have by Theorem 1.7 that

$$u \in \text{weak} -L^{\gamma_1}(\Omega), \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}.$$

Therefore

$$f \in \text{weak} -L^{q_1}(\Omega), \quad q_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}.$$

Now we repeatedly use Theorem 1.7. At the  $j$ th step we obtain

$$u \in \text{weak} -L^{\gamma_j}(\Omega) \text{ where } \gamma_j = \frac{nq_{j-1}(p-1)}{n-pq_{j-1}},$$

and

$$f \in \text{weak} -L^{q_j}(\Omega), \quad q_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{\alpha}$$

here we put  $q_0 = 1$ . By recursion

$$q_j = \frac{n}{n(\frac{a}{p-1})^j - p \sum_{k=1}^j (\frac{a}{p-1})^k}$$



provided that  $q_{j-1} < n/p$ . Since  $0 \leq a < n(p-1)/(n-p)$ , it is immediate that  $q_j$  is an increasing sequence and moreover, there is an  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^j \left(\frac{a}{p-1}\right)^{k-j} &= \sum_{k=0}^j \left(\frac{a}{p-1}\right)^{-k} \\ &\geq \delta + \sum_{k=0}^j (1 - p/n)^k \\ &= \delta + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{p} (1 - p/n)^{j+1} \\ &> \frac{n}{p} \end{aligned}$$

if  $j$  is large enough. One easily checks that for such a  $j$  it holds that  $q_j > n/p$ . Therefore we conclude that  $f \in \text{weak-}L^q(\Omega)$  for some  $q > n/p$  and hence  $u$  is bounded by the remark after Theorem 1.7. Moreover, as indicated in that remark it follows for instance from [15] that  $u$  is then locally Hölder continuous. In the case of the  $p$ -Laplacian it follows that  $u$  is in  $C^{1,\varepsilon}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  by e.g. [5].  $\square$

**3.1. Example.** Suppose that

$$a > a_c = \frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}.$$

Let

$$b = \frac{p}{a - p + 1}$$

and  $u(x) = |x|^{-b}$ . Since  $a > a_c$   $u$  is an entropy solution of

$$(3.2) \quad -\Delta_p(u) = f,$$

where

$$f(x) = b^{p-1} (b(1-p) + n - p) |x|^{-ab} \in L^1(B(0, 1)).$$

Because of the nonhomogeneity of (3.2) there is a constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $v = \lambda u$  satisfies

$$-\Delta_p(v) = v^a,$$

but  $v$  is not regular (bounded).

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aviles, *On isolated singularities in some nonlinear partial differential equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), 773–791.
- [2] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, and J.L. Vazquez, *An  $L^1$ -theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Cl. Science, Ser. IV **22** (1995), 241–273.
- [3] G. DalMaso, F. Murat, L. Orsina, and A. Prignet, *Definition and existence of renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data*, C.R. Acad. Paris, Ser I **325** (1997), 481–486.
- [4] T. Del Vecchio, *Nonlinear elliptic equations with measure data*, Potential Analysis **4** (1995), 185–203.

- [5] E. DiBenedetto,  *$C^{1+\alpha}$  local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA **7** (1983), 827–850.
- [6] E. DiBenedetto and J. J. Manfredi, *On the higher integrability of the gradient of weak solutions of certain degenerate elliptic systems*, Amer. J. Math. **115** (1993), 1107–1134.
- [7] G. Dolzmann, N. Hungerbühler, and S. Müller, *Uniqueness and maximal regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems of  $n$ -Laplace type with measure valued right hand side*, Preprint (1998).
- [8] J. Heinonen and T. Kilpeläinen,  *$\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions and supersolutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Ark. Mat. **26** (1988), 87–105.
- [9] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [10] T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, *Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Cl. Science, Ser. IV **19** (1992), 591–613.
- [11] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering 46. Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [12] F. Murat, *Soluciones renormalizadas de EDP elípticas no lineales*, Publications du laboratoire d'analyse numerique, C.N.R.S., Univ. P. & M. Curie (Paris VI) **93023** (1993).
- [13] N. Meyers and A. Elcrat, *Some results on regularity for solutions of non-linear elliptic systems and quasiregular functions*, Duke Math. J. **42** (1975), 121–136.
- [14] F. Pacard, *Existence and convergence of positive weak solutions of  $-\Delta u = u^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$  in bounded domains of  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **1** (1993), 243–265.
- [15] J. Serrin, *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 247–302.
- [16] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [17] X. Zhong, *On nonhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Dissertationes **117** (1998).
- [18] Xi Ping Zhu and Jian Fu Yang, *Regularity for quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents.*, (Chinese, MR 90e:35027), J. Systems Sci. Math. Sci. **9** (1989), 47–52.

University of Jyväskylä  
 Department of Mathematics  
 P.O. Box 35  
 40351 Jyväskylä  
 Finland  
 e-mail: terok@math.jyu.fi

Young Scientist Laboratory of Mathematical Physics  
 Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics  
 Chinese Academy of Sciences  
 P.O. Box 71010  
 Wuhan 430071, P.R. China  
 ligb@wipm.whcnc.ac.cn