

Regularity for minimizing sequences of some variational integrals

Hongya Gao, Yanan Shan & Wei Ren*

*Hebei Key Laboratory of Machine Learning and Computational Intelligence,
College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China*

Email: ghy@hbu.edu.cn, 1067382557@qq.com, renwei4321@163.com

Received March 31, 2021; accepted May 23, 2022

Abstract This paper deals with regularity properties for minimizing sequences of some integral functionals related to the nonlinear elasticity theory. Under some structural conditions, we derive that the minimizing sequence and the derivatives of the sequences have some regularity properties by using the Ekeland variational principle.

Keywords regularity, minimizing sequences, variational integral, energy, Ekeland variational principle

MSC(2020) 49N60

Citation: Gao H Y, Shan Y N, Ren W. Regularity for minimizing sequences of some variational integrals. *Sci China Math*, 2022, 65, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-021-1975-5>

1 Introduction

This paper deals with regularity properties for minimizing sequences of integral functionals of the type

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, Du(x)) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 , $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)^t : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a vector-valued map, and Du is the 3×3 Jacobian matrix of its partial derivatives, i.e.,

$$Du = \begin{pmatrix} Du^1 \\ Du^2 \\ Du^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 u^1 & D_2 u^1 & D_3 u^1 \\ D_1 u^2 & D_2 u^2 & D_3 u^2 \\ D_1 u^3 & D_2 u^3 & D_3 u^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{\beta} u^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

We consider two special classes of (1.1). For the first class, we assume that there exist Carathéodory functions $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $H : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$f(x, \xi) = F(x, \xi) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 \xi) + H(x, \det \xi). \quad (1.2)$$

* Corresponding author

For the second class, we assume that there exist Carathéodory functions $F^\alpha : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$), $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $H : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$f(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 \xi) + H(x, \det \xi). \quad (1.3)$$

In (1.2) and (1.3), $\det \xi$ is the determinant of the matrix

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \xi^2 \\ \xi^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1 & \xi_2^1 & \xi_3^1 \\ \xi_1^2 & \xi_2^2 & \xi_3^2 \\ \xi_1^3 & \xi_2^3 & \xi_3^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi^\alpha = (\xi_1^\alpha, \xi_2^\alpha, \xi_3^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (1.4)$$

and $\text{adj}_2 \xi = ((\text{adj}_2 \xi)_i^\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ denotes the adjugate matrix of order 2 whose components are

$$(\text{adj}_2 \xi)_i^\gamma = (-1)^{\gamma+i} \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k^\alpha & \xi_l^\alpha \\ \xi_k^\beta & \xi_l^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma, i \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{\gamma\}$, $\alpha < \beta$ and $k, l \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i\}$, $k < l$.

We remark that in the nonlinear elasticity theory, ξ , $\text{adj}_2 \xi$ and $\det \xi$ govern the deformations of line, surface and volume, respectively.

For some regularity results of the variational integral (1.1), we refer the reader to Ball [3], Acerbi and Fusco [1], Bauman et al. [4–7] and Dacorogna [17]. Partial regularity results, that is the regularity of solutions up to a set Ω_0 and the study of the properties of the singular set are contained in [12, 19, 24–27, 37, 54, 56]. For the polyconvex case, only few everywhere regularity results are available; we mention [27], where the everywhere continuity was proved in the two-dimensional case, and [26], where Hölder continuity for extremals was dealt with, still in dimension two. Global pointwise bounds can be found in [20, 43, 46–48, 51].

Important contributions in this field follow from the work of Cupini et al. [16], where the authors gave a regularity result for local minimizers $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ of a special class of polyconvex functionals, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 [F_\alpha(x, Du^\alpha) + G_\alpha(x, (\text{adj}_2 Du)^\alpha)] + H(x, \det Du) \right\} dx. \quad (1.5)$$

Under some structure assumptions on the energy density, the authors proved that local minimizers u are locally bounded. This paper illustrated some ideas and methods which lead to local boundedness for local minimizers of some polyconvex functionals.

In the paper [13], Carozza et al. considered polyconvex functionals of the calculus of variations defined on maps from the three-dimensional Euclidean space into itself, i.e., they assumed that the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ in (1.1) is of the form

$$f(x, \xi) = F(x, |\xi|^2) + G(x, |\text{adj}_2 \xi|^2) + H(x, \det \xi). \quad (1.6)$$

Under some conditions on the structure of the functional, the authors proved local boundedness of minimizers.

In the paper [15], Cupini et al. proved local Hölder continuity of vectorial local minimizers of special classes of (1.1) with rank-one and polyconvex integrands

$$f(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N F_\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) + G(x, \xi). \quad (1.7)$$

The authors assumed that the energy densities in (1.7) satisfy suitable structure assumptions and may have neither the radial nor the quasi-diagonal structure and they obtained some regularity results.

Recently, Gao et al. [32] considered two special cases of the integrand $f(x, s, \xi)$. They assumed the splitting structure on the leading part of f :

$$f(x, u, Du) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, Du^\alpha) + \text{lower order terms.} \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, they assumed anisotropic behavior. Under two special cases of the “lower order terms” and some structural assumptions, they proved that minimizers either are bounded or have suitable integrability properties by using the classical Stampacchia lemma (see Lemma 2.9 below).

We also refer to [52] and the references therein for some aspects of the process/approach to interior regularity of weak solutions to a class of nonlinear elliptic equations in the divergence form, as well as of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations.

For the study of minimizing sequences of variational integrals, we mention [9], where some properties of the minimizing sequences for integral functionals are considered, and [46], where pointwise bounds are obtained for suitable minimizing sequences of functionals of the type

$$\int_{\Omega} (|Du|^p + h(\det Du)) dx. \quad (1.9)$$

In both the above mentioned papers, the authors used the Ekeland’s ε -variational principle (see Lemma 2.7 below). For some other applications of the Ekeland’s ε -variational principle, we refer the reader to Leonetti et al. [45], in which the variational integral of the type (1.9) was considered with $h(t)$ is of logarithmic type. For some other results related to the variational integral (1.9), we refer the reader to [33, 44].

In the present paper, we give some regularity properties for minimizing sequences of the variational integral (1.1) with the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ being as (1.2). In the mean time, we give uniform higher integrability for the gradients of minimizing sequences of the variational integral (1.1) with the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ being as (1.3). We mention that unlike [16], we do not make any convexity assumptions on the integrands.

For the first class, we assume that there exist constants

$$k_1, k_3 > 0, \quad k_2 \geq 0, \quad (1.10)$$

$$1 < p < 3, \quad (1.11)$$

$$0 < q < \frac{p}{2}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$0 < r < \frac{p}{3} \quad (1.13)$$

$$(1.14)$$

and nonnegative functions

$$a(x), b(x), c(x) \in L^m(\Omega), \quad m > 1, \quad (1.15)$$

such that for almost all $x \in \Omega$, all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$k_1 |\xi|^p - k_2 \leq F(x, \xi) \leq k_1 |\xi|^p + a(x), \quad (1.16)$$

$$-k_1 |\xi|^q - k_2 \leq G(x, \xi) \leq k_3 |\xi|^q + b(x), \quad (1.17)$$

$$-k_1 |t|^r - k_2 \leq H(x, t) \leq k_3 |t|^r + c(x). \quad (1.18)$$

In (1.16)–(1.18), the norm $|\xi|$ for a matrix $\xi = (\xi_j^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is defined by

$$|\xi| = \sum_{i=1}^3 |\xi^i| = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 |\xi_j^i|. \quad (1.19)$$

We remark that the norms for the matrix ξ and the vectors ξ^i defined above are different from the ones in [13, 15, 16, 32].

Under the hypotheses (1.10)–(1.18) on $f(x, \xi)$ in (1.2), \mathcal{J} is well defined. Our first theorem is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Consider the variational integral

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \{F(x, Du(x)) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Du(x)) + H(x, \det Du(x))\} dx. \quad (1.20)$$

We assume the conditions (1.10)–(1.18). Let

$$r_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{p}{2q}, \frac{p-q}{q}, \frac{p-r}{2r}, m \right\}. \quad (1.21)$$

Then there exist a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and positive constants c_1, c_2 and c_3 depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

- (i) $1 < r_0 < \frac{3}{p} \Rightarrow \|v_n\|_{L^{\sigma}(\Omega)} \leq c_1, \sigma = (pr_0)^*$;
- (ii) $r_0 = \frac{3}{p} \Rightarrow \|e^{c_2|v_n|}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|(1 + \frac{e\pi^2}{6})$;
- (iii) $r_0 > \frac{3}{p} \Rightarrow \|v_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c_3$.

We remark that in the assumption (1.16), we have the same constant k_1 on the left-hand side and the right-hand side: this is a structure condition that keeps away De Giorgi's counterexample [18] and that allows for L^{∞} estimates contained in Theorem 1.1(iii). We use the same constant k_1 from below and from above in the proof of Theorem 1.1 when writing the left-hand side of (3.8). We remark that solutions to vectorial problems might be irregular (see the surveys [53] and [42]).

We remark also that in (1.5), (1.7) and (1.8), the leading part of f has splitting form. In [13], the integrand is of the form (1.6) with the functions $F(x, t)$, $G(x, t)$ and $H(x, s)$ satisfying some restrictive conditions (see [13, Theorem 2.2]). In Theorem 1.1 of the present paper, we assume that the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ is as in (1.2), and this kind of integrand seems to be more general. Meanwhile, our assumptions (1.10)–(1.18) seem to be weaker than the formers.

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. Let

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \{|Du(x)|^p + |\text{adj}_2 Du(x)|^q + |\det Du(x)|^r\} dx \quad (1.22)$$

with p, q and r satisfying (1.11)–(1.13), respectively. Let

$$r'_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{p}{2q}, \frac{p-q}{q}, \frac{p-r}{2r} \right\}.$$

Then there exist a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and positive constants c'_1, c'_2 and c'_3 , depending only on p, q, r and $|\Omega|$, such that

- (i) $1 < r'_0 < \frac{3}{p} \Rightarrow \|v_n\|_{L^{\sigma'}(\Omega)} \leq c'_1, \sigma' = (pr'_0)^*$;
- (ii) $r'_0 = \frac{3}{p} \Rightarrow \|e^{c'_2|v_n|}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|(1 + \frac{e\pi^2}{6})$;
- (iii) $r'_0 > \frac{3}{p} \Rightarrow \|v_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c'_3$.

For the second class, i.e., the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ is as in (1.3), we assume (1.10)–(1.15) and (1.17)–(1.18). Instead of (1.16), we assume that for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$k_1|\eta|^p - k_2 \leq F^{\alpha}(x, \eta) \leq k_3|\eta|^p + a(x), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.15)'$$

Under the above-mentioned conditions, \mathcal{J} is well defined.

Our second theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let Ω be a regular domain. Consider the variational integral

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^{\alpha}(x, Du^{\alpha}(x)) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Du(x)) + H(x, \det Du(x)) \right\} dx. \quad (1.23)$$

Under the assumptions (1.10)–(1.15), (1.15)' and (1.17)–(1.18), then there exist a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and constants $\delta, c_4 > 0$, depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq c_4.$$

Recall that a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is called *regular* if there exist $R_0 > 0$ and $0 < \theta_0 < 1$, such that for any $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and for any $0 < R < R_0$,

$$|B_R(x_0) \setminus \bar{\Omega}| \geq \theta_0 \omega_N R^N,$$

where $B_R(x_0)$ is the ball centered at x_0 with radius R and $\omega_N = |B_1|$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let Ω be a regular domain and*

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 |Du^\alpha(x)|^p + |\text{adj}_2 Du(x)|^q + |\det Du(x)|^r \right\} dx$$

with p, q and r satisfying (1.11)–(1.13), respectively. Then there exist a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and constants $\delta', c'_4 > 0$, depending only on p, q, r and $|\Omega|$, such that

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^{p+\delta'}(\Omega)} \leq c'_4.$$

2 Preliminary results

This section is devoted to preliminary lemmas used in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

For the matrix (1.4) with the norm defined in (1.19), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Consider the matrix (1.4). The following hold:*

- (i) $|\xi| = |\xi^1| + |\xi^2| + |\xi^3|$;
- (ii) $|(\text{adj}_2 \xi)^\alpha| \leq |\xi^\beta| |\xi^\gamma|$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\beta, \gamma = \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, $\beta < \gamma$;
- (iii) $|\text{adj}_2 \xi| \leq |\xi|^2$;
- (iv) $|\det \xi| \leq |\xi^1| |\xi^2| |\xi^3| \leq |\xi|^3$.

Proof. (i) The result is obvious by the definition (1.19).

(ii) For $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\beta, \gamma = \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and $\beta < \gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\text{adj}_2 \xi)^\alpha| &= |(\text{adj} \xi)_1^\alpha| + |(\text{adj} \xi)_2^\alpha| + |(\text{adj} \xi)_3^\alpha| \\ &= |\xi_2^\beta \xi_3^\gamma - \xi_2^\gamma \xi_3^\beta| + |\xi_1^\beta \xi_3^\gamma - \xi_1^\gamma \xi_3^\beta| + |\xi_1^\beta \xi_2^\gamma - \xi_1^\gamma \xi_2^\beta| \\ &\leq |\xi_2^\beta \xi_3^\gamma| + |\xi_2^\gamma \xi_3^\beta| + |\xi_1^\beta \xi_3^\gamma| + |\xi_1^\gamma \xi_3^\beta| + |\xi_1^\beta \xi_2^\gamma| + |\xi_1^\gamma \xi_2^\beta| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^3 |\xi_i^\beta| |\xi_j^\gamma| \\ &= |\xi^\beta| |\xi^\gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) By (i) and (ii), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{adj}_2 \xi| &= |(\text{adj} \xi)^1| + |(\text{adj}_2 \xi)^2| + |(\text{adj} \xi)^3| \\ &\leq |\xi^2| |\xi^3| + |\xi^1| |\xi^3| + |\xi^1| |\xi^2| \\ &\leq (|\xi^1| + |\xi^2| + |\xi^3|)(|\xi^1| + |\xi^2| + |\xi^3|) \\ &= |\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) By the definition (1.19), we use (ii) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\det \xi| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j^1 (\text{adj}_2 \xi)_j^1 \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 |\xi_j^1| |(\text{adj}_2 \xi)_j^1| \\ &\leq |\xi^1| \sum_{j=1}^3 |(\text{adj}_2 \xi)_j^1| = |\xi^1| |(\text{adj}_2 \xi)^1| \leq |\xi^1| |\xi^2| |\xi^3| \leq |\xi|^3. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The next lemma illustrates that the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ defined in (1.2) is p -coercive and bounded from below.

Lemma 2.2. *Under the assumptions (1.10)–(1.18), there exists a positive constant M_1 , depending only on p, q, r, k_1 and k_2 , such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$,*

$$f(x, \xi) = F(x, \xi) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 \xi) + H(x, \det \xi) \geq \frac{k_1}{2} |\xi|^p - M_1 \geq -M_1.$$

Proof. We use (1.16)–(1.18) and the results (iii) and (iv) in Lemma 2.1 in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, \xi) &= F(x, \xi) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 \xi) + H(x, \det \xi) \\ &\geq k_1 |\xi|^p - k_1 |\text{adj}_2 \xi|^q - k_1 |\det \xi|^r - 3k_2 \\ &\geq k_1 |\xi|^p - k_1 |\xi|^{2q} - k_1 |\xi|^{3r} - 3k_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The conditions $0 < q < \frac{p}{2}$ and $0 < r < \frac{p}{3}$ in (1.12) and (1.13) allow us to use Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \varepsilon \frac{a^t}{t} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{t'}{t}} \frac{b^{t'}}{t'}, \quad a, b > 0, \quad t > 1, \quad \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t'} = 1, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

to derive (take $a = |\xi|^{2q}$, $b = k_1$, $\varepsilon = \frac{k_1}{4}$, $t = \frac{p}{2q}$ and $t' = \frac{p}{p-2q}$)

$$k_1 |\xi|^{2q} \leq \frac{k_1}{4} \frac{2q}{p} |\xi|^p + \left(\frac{k_1}{4} \right)^{-\frac{2q}{p-2q}} \frac{p-2q}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-2q}} \quad (2.3)$$

and (take $a = |\xi|^{3r}$, $b = k_1$, $\varepsilon = \frac{k_1}{4}$, $t = \frac{p}{3r}$ and $t' = \frac{p}{p-3r}$)

$$k_1 |\xi|^{3r} \leq \frac{k_1}{4} \frac{3r}{p} |\xi|^p + \left(\frac{k_1}{4} \right)^{-\frac{3r}{p-3r}} \frac{p-3r}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-3r}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.4) into (2.1) and noticing

$$\frac{k_1}{4} \left(\frac{2q}{p} + \frac{3r}{p} \right) < \frac{k_1}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, \xi) &\geq \frac{k_1}{2} |\xi|^p - \left(\frac{k_1}{4} \right)^{-\frac{2q}{p-2q}} \frac{p-2q}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-2q}} - \left(\frac{k_1}{4} \right)^{-\frac{3r}{p-3r}} \frac{p-3r}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-3r}} - 3k_2 \\ &= \frac{k_1}{2} |\xi|^p - M_1 \geq -M_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_1 = \left(\frac{p-2q}{p} 4^{\frac{2q}{p-2q}} + \frac{p-3r}{p} 4^{\frac{3r}{p-3r}} \right) k_1 + 3k_2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Analogously, under the assumptions (1.10)–(1.15), (1.15)' and (1.17)–(1.18), the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ defined in (1.3) is p -coercive and bounded from below.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions (1.10)–(1.15), (1.15)' and (1.17)–(1.18), there exists a positive constant M_2 , depending only on p, q, r, k_1 and k_2 , such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$,*

$$f(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 \xi) + H(x, \det \xi) \geq \frac{k_1}{2^{2p-1}} |\xi|^p - M_2 \geq -M_2.$$

Proof. We use (1.15)', (1.17), (1.18) and the results (iii) and (iv) in Lemma 2.1 in order to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, \xi) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 \xi) + H(x, \det \xi) \\
 &\geq k_1 \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 |\xi^\alpha|^p - k_1 |\text{adj}_2 \xi|^q - k_1 |\det \xi|^r - 5k_2 \\
 &\geq k_1 4^{1-p} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 |\xi^\alpha| \right)^p - k_1 |\xi|^{2q} - k_1 |\xi|^{3r} - 5k_2 \\
 &= k_1 4^{1-p} |\xi|^p - k_1 |\xi|^{2q} - k_1 |\xi|^{3r} - 5k_2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The conditions $0 < q < \frac{p}{2}$ and $0 < r < \frac{p}{3}$ in (1.12) and (1.13) allow us to use Young's inequality (2.2) to derive (take $a = |\xi|^{2q}$, $b = k_1$, $\varepsilon = \frac{k_1}{4^p}$, $t = \frac{p}{2q}$ and $t' = \frac{p}{p-2q}$)

$$k_1 |\xi|^{2q} \leq \frac{k_1}{4^p} \frac{2q}{p} |\xi|^p + \left(\frac{k_1}{4^p} \right)^{-\frac{2q}{p-2q}} \frac{p-2q}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-2q}} \tag{2.6}$$

and (take $a = |\xi|^{3r}$, $b = k_1$, $\varepsilon = \frac{k_1}{4^p}$, $t = \frac{p}{3r}$ and $t' = \frac{p}{p-3r}$)

$$k_1 |\xi|^{3r} \leq \frac{k_1}{4^p} \frac{3r}{p} |\xi|^p + \left(\frac{k_1}{4^p} \right)^{-\frac{3r}{p-3r}} \frac{p-3r}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-3r}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.5) and noticing

$$\frac{k_1}{4^p} \left(\frac{2q}{p} + \frac{3r}{p} \right) < \frac{2k_1}{4^p},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, \xi) &\geq \frac{2k_1}{4^p} |\xi|^p - \left(\frac{k_1}{4^p} \right)^{-\frac{2q}{p-2q}} \frac{p-2q}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-2q}} - \left(\frac{k_1}{4^p} \right)^{-\frac{3r}{p-3r}} \frac{p-3r}{p} k_1^{\frac{p}{p-3r}} - 5k_2 \\
 &= \frac{k_1}{2^{2p-1}} |\xi|^p - M_2 \geq -M_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_2 = \left(\frac{p-2q}{p} 4^{\frac{2pq}{p-2q}} + \frac{p-3r}{p} 4^{\frac{3pr}{p-3r}} \right) k_1 + 5k_2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We recall Fatou Lemma, which can be found, for example, on page 23 in [8].

Lemma 2.4. Let f_n be a sequence of $L^1(E)$ functions such that

- (i) $f_n \geq 0$ a.e. in E ;
- (ii) $\int_E f_n(x) dx < +\infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let

$$f(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in E.$$

Then

$$\int_E f(x) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n(x) dx.$$

We now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \{F(x, Du(x)) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Du(x)) + H(x, \det Du(x))\} dx. \tag{2.8}$$

Let the functions $F(x, \xi)$, $G(x, \xi)$ and $H(x, t)$ satisfy the assumptions (1.16)–(1.18), respectively. Define

$$V = \{w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ such that } w \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)\} \quad (2.9)$$

and set

$$d(w, v) = \|Dw - Dv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad (2.10)$$

for every $w, v \in V$. Then (V, d) is a complete metric space and \mathcal{J} is lower semicontinuous with respect to d .

Proof. By the definition $d(w, v)$ in (2.10), one easily check that d is a distance on V , and one should only note that $d(w, v) = 0$ implies $Dw = Dv$ a.e. Ω , since $w = v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Poincaré's inequality

$$\mathcal{S}\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(N, p) \quad (2.11)$$

with $p = 1$ gives us $w = v$ in Ω . The completeness of (V, d) is obvious.

Let $\{w_k\}_k \subset V$ be converging to $w_\infty \in V$ with respect to d so that

$$Dw_k \rightarrow Dw_\infty \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Then there exists a subsequence $\{w_{s_k}\}_k$ such that

$$Dw_{s_k}(x) \rightarrow Dw_\infty(x)$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Continuity of $\xi \mapsto f(x, \xi)$ implies

$$f(x, Dw_{s_k}(x)) \rightarrow f(x, Dw_\infty(x)) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

and then

$$f(x, Dw_{s_k}(x)) + M_1 \rightarrow f(x, Dw_\infty(x)) + M_1 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

where M_1 is the constant in Lemma 2.2. Such a pointwise convergence together with the facts that $f(x, Dw_{r_k}(x)) + M_1$ and $f(x, Dw_\infty(x)) + M_1$ are nonnegative, allows us to use the Fatou lemma (see Lemma 2.4), and we get

$$\mathcal{J}(w_\infty) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}(w_{s_k}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}(w_k).$$

This means that \mathcal{J} is lower semicontinuous with respect to d . □

Analogously, one has the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, Du^\alpha(x)) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Du(x)) + H(x, \det Du(x)) \right\} dx. \quad (2.12)$$

We assume (1.10)–(1.15), (1.15)' and (1.17)–(1.18). Define

$$V = \{w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ such that } w \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)\}, \quad (2.13)$$

and set

$$d(w, v) = \|Dw - Dv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad (2.14)$$

for every $w, v \in V$. Then (V, d) is a complete metric space and \mathcal{J} is lower semicontinuous with respect to d .

Let us recall the Ekeland ε -variational principle (see [21–23, 28, 36]).

Lemma 2.7. Let (V, d) be a complete metric space and let $\mathcal{F} : V \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a lower semicontinuous function such that $\inf_V \mathcal{F}$ is finite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in V$ be such that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \inf_{v \in V} \mathcal{F}(v) + \varepsilon. \quad (2.15)$$

Then there exists a $v \in V$ such that

- (i) $d(u, v) \leq 1$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}(v) \leq \mathcal{F}(u)$;
- (iii) v minimizes the functional $\mathcal{G}(w) = \mathcal{F}(w) + \varepsilon d(v, w)$.

For some applications of the Ekeland ε -variational principle, we refer the reader to [8, 9, 17, 45, 46].

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma (see [9, Lemma 2.3]).

Lemma 2.8. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded, open set; let v be a function in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 < p < N$; let ψ_0, ψ_1 and ψ_2 be nonnegative measurable functions, and γ_1 and γ_2 be real numbers such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi_0 \in L^{r_0}(\Omega), & 1 < r_0 < \frac{N}{p}, \\ \psi_1 \in L^{r_1}(\Omega), & r_1 > \frac{N}{p}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_1 < p^* \frac{r_1 - 1}{r_1}, \\ \psi_2 \in L^{r_2}(\Omega), & r_2 > N, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_2 < \frac{N}{N-1} \frac{r_2 - 1}{r_2}. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

Suppose that for every $k > 0$,

$$\int_{A_k} |\nabla v|^p dx \leq \int_{A_k} [\psi_0 + \psi_1 |v|^{\gamma_1} + \psi_2 |v|^{\gamma_2}] dx, \quad (2.17)$$

where $A_k = \{x \in \Omega : |v(x)| > k\}$. Then there exists a positive constant c_5 , depending on the various parameters and on the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norm of v , such that

$$\|v\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \leq c_5, \quad \sigma = (pr_0)^*.$$

The following well-known Stampacchia lemma is from [55, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.9. Let c_6, α and β be positive constants and k_0 a real number. Let $\varphi : [k_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be decreasing and such that

$$\varphi(h) \leq \frac{c_6}{(h-k)^\alpha} [\varphi(k)]^\beta \quad (2.18)$$

for every h and k with $h > k \geq k_0$. It results that

- (i) if $\beta > 1$, then

$$\varphi(k_0 + d) = 0,$$

where

$$d^\alpha = c_6 [\varphi(k_0)]^{\beta-1} 2^{\frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta-1}};$$

- (ii) if $\beta = 1$, then for any $k \geq k_0$,

$$\varphi(k) \leq \varphi(k_0) e^{1-(c_6 e)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(k-k_0)};$$

- (iii) if $\beta < 1$ and $k_0 > 0$, then for any $k \geq k_0$,

$$\varphi(k) \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha}{(1-\beta)^2}} \left\{ c_6^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} + (2k_0)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}} \varphi(k_0) \right\} \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}}.$$

Stampacchia lemma is an efficient tool in dealing with regularity issues of minima of variational integrals as well as solutions to elliptic equations and systems. This lemma has also been generalized in many respects, and we refer to [29, 31, 39, 41] for more details. For some other results related to Lemma 2.9, we refer to [2, 8, 10, 11, 14, 29, 30, 35] and [31, 34, 38, 40, 49, 50].

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemma (see [9, Lemma 2.6]).

Lemma 2.10. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a regular bounded, open set; let v be a function in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 < p < N$, ψ_0, ψ_1 and ψ_2 be nonnegative measurable functions, and γ_1 and γ_2 be real numbers such that (2.16) holds. Let us assume that there exists a $Q \geq 1$ such that for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\nabla v|^p dx \leq Q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \{|\nabla v + \nabla \varphi|^p + \psi_0 + \psi_1(|v| + |\varphi|)^{\gamma_1} + \psi_2(|v| + |\varphi|)^{\gamma_2}\} dx.$$

Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $c_7 > 0$, depending on the various parameters and on $\|v\|_{W_0^{1,p}}$, such that $\nabla v \in L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)$, and

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq c_7(\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^{r_1}(\Omega)} + \|\psi_2\|_{L^{r_2}(\Omega)} + \|\psi_0\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)} + 1).$$

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

This section is devoted to the proof of the main theorems.

For convenience of the reader, we outline the scheme of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. For $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J}$ and $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ any minimizing sequence of variational functionals (1.20) or (1.23), the previous Lemmas 2.5 and 2.2 allow us to use the Ekeland ε -variational principle (see Lemma 2.7) to derive that there exists another minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subset V$ satisfying some sorts of variational inequalities. For such a sequence, we use an appropriate test function, Lemma 2.8 or Lemma 2.10, and the Stampacchia lemma (see Lemma 2.9), and we derive the desired results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We let V be as in (2.9) and the distance d be given by (2.10). Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J}$ and $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence of \mathcal{J} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{J}(u_n) \rightarrow \inf_V \mathcal{J}(u) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Assume that $\mathcal{J}(u_n) > \inf_V \mathcal{J}(u)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We set

$$\varepsilon_n = \mathcal{J}(u_n) - \inf_V \mathcal{J}(u),$$

where $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, converging to zero. Then

$$\mathcal{J}(u_n) \leq \inf_V \mathcal{J}(u) + \varepsilon_n. \quad (3.2)$$

It is no loss of generality to assume $\varepsilon_n \leq 1$. Lemmas 2.5 and 2.2 tell us that (V, d) is a complete metric space, \mathcal{J} is sequentially lower semicontinuous with respect to d , and $\inf_V \mathcal{J}$ is finite: $\inf_V \mathcal{J} \geq -M_1|\Omega|$. We use the Ekeland ε -variational principle (see Lemma 2.7) and we derive that there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset V$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv_n - Du_n| dx \leq 1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{J}(v_n) \leq \mathcal{J}(u_n) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}(v_n) \leq \mathcal{J}(w) + \varepsilon_n \int_{\Omega} |Dv_n - Dw| dx \quad \text{for every } w \in V. \quad (3.5)$$

(3.4) together with (3.1) implies

$$\mathcal{J}(v_n) \rightarrow \inf_V \mathcal{J}(u) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which means that $\{v_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence.

We now prove that such a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e., there exists a constant c_8 , depending only on $p, q, r, k_1, k_2, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

$$\|v_n\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_8. \quad (3.6)$$

In fact, Lemma 2.2 tells us that $f(x, \xi)$ is p -coercive:

$$f(x, Dv_n) + M_1 \geq \frac{k_1}{2} |Dv_n|^p,$$

from which, and considering the facts (3.4), (3.2) and $\varepsilon_n \leq 1$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |Dv_n|^p dx &\leq \frac{2}{k_1} (\mathcal{J}(v_n) + M_1 |\Omega|) \leq \frac{2}{k_1} (\mathcal{J}(u_n) + M_1 |\Omega|) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k_1} \left(\inf_V \mathcal{J}(u) + 1 + M_1 |\Omega| \right) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(3.6) is proved.

Now, for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $k > 0$ we take

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \\ w^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_k(v_n^1) \\ v_n^2 \\ v_n^3 \end{pmatrix} \in V,$$

where $T_k(v_n^1)$ is the truncation function of v_n^1 at level k , i.e.,

$$T_k(v_n^1) = \max\{-k, \min\{v_n^1, k\}\}.$$

We use the above w in (3.5) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \{F(x, Dv_n) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dv_n) + H(x, \det Dv_n)\} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \{F(x, Dw) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dw) + H(x, \det Dw)\} dx + \varepsilon_n \int_{\Omega} |Dv_n - Dw| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let us define the superlevel set

$$A_{n,k}^1 = \{x \in \Omega : |v_n^1(x)| > k\}.$$

Since $Dw = Dv_n$ in $\Omega \setminus A_{n,k}^1$, (3.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A_{n,k}^1} \{F(x, Dv_n) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dv_n) + H(x, \det Dv_n)\} dx \\ &\leq \int_{A_{n,k}^1} \{F(x, Dw) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dw) + H(x, \det Dw)\} dx + \varepsilon_n \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n - Dw| dx. \end{aligned}$$

(1.16)–(1.18) merge into

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n|^p dx - k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx - k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\det Dv_n|^r dx - 3 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} k_2 dx \\ &\leq k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dw|^p dx + k_3 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^q dx + k_3 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\det Dw|^r dx \\ &\quad + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (a(x) + b(x) + c(x)) dx + \varepsilon_n \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n - Dw| dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n|^p - |Dw|^p) dx \\ &\leq k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx + k_3 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^q dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\det Dv_n|^r dx + k_3 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\det Dw|^r dx \\
& + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n - Dw| dx + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2) dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where we have used again $\varepsilon_n \leq 1$. Since

$$Dw = \begin{pmatrix} Dv_n^1 \cdot 1_{\Omega \setminus A_{n,k}^1} \\ Dv_n^2 \\ Dv_n^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1_E(x)$ is the characteristic function of the set E , i.e., $1_E(x) = 1$ if $x \in E$ and $1_E(x) = 0$ if $x \notin E$, we obtain that for $x \in A_{n,k}^1$,

$$Dw = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Dv_n^2 \\ Dv_n^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ D_1 v_n^2 & D_2 v_n^2 & D_3 v_n^2 \\ D_1 v_n^3 & D_2 v_n^3 & D_3 v_n^3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\text{adj}_2 Dw = \begin{pmatrix} (\text{adj}_2 Dv_n)^1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\text{adj}_2 Dv_n)_1^1 & (\text{adj}_2 Dv_n)_2^1 & (\text{adj}_2 Dv_n)_3^1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\det Dw = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.9), we obtain

$$|Dw| = |Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|.$$

We apply a basic inequality

$$a^p + b^p \leq (a + b)^p, \quad \forall a, b \geq 0, \quad \forall p \geq 1$$

to obtain

$$|Dv_n^1|^p \leq |Dv_n|^p - |Dw|^p. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.10), we obtain

$$|\text{adj}_2 Dw| = |(\text{adj}_2 Dv_n)^1|. \tag{3.13}$$

Substituting (3.12), (3.13), (3.11) and (3.9) into (3.8), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
& \leq k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx + k_3 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |(\text{adj}_2 Dv_n)^1|^q dx \\
& + k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\det Dv_n|^r dx + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1| dx + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2) dx \\
& =: k_1 I_1 + k_3 I_2 + k_1 I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Our nearest goal is to estimate I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

We use (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.1 and Young's inequality in order to get

$$I_1 = \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^1 + |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^2 + |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^3)^q dx \\
 &\leq \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3| + |Dv_n^1||Dv_n^3| + |Dv_n^1||Dv_n^2|)^q dx \\
 &\leq 2^q \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx + 2^q \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^q (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^q dx \\
 &\leq 2^q \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx + 2^q \varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
 &\quad + 2^q C_\varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 2.1(ii) again and we have

$$I_2 = \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^1 dx \leq \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx.$$

I_3 can be estimated by using Lemma 2.1(iv) and Young's inequality, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |\det Dv_n|^r dx \\
 &\leq \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^r (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^r dx \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

I_4 can be estimated by using Young inequality, i.e.,

$$I_4 = \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1| dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} C_\varepsilon dx.$$

To sum up, we substitute the above estimates for I_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) into (3.14) and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &k_1 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
 &\leq (k_1 2^q + k_3) \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx + (k_1 2^q + k_1 + 1) \varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
 &\quad + k_1 2^q C_\varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx + k_1 C_\varepsilon \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} C_\varepsilon dx + \int_{A_{n,k}^1} (a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

We take ε small enough such that $(k_1 2^q + k_1 + 1)\varepsilon < k_1$, and then the second term on the right-hand side of the above inequality is absorbed by the left-hand side. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx &\leq c_9 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} [(|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q + (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \\
 &\quad + (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} + (a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2 + 1)] dx \\
 &=: c_9 \int_{A_{n,k}^1} \psi_0 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where c_9 is a constant depending only on p, q, r, k_1, k_2 and k_3 .

We recall the definition for r_0 in (1.21) and we distinguish the following proof into three cases:

Case 1. $1 < r_0 < \frac{3}{p}$.

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q \in L^{\frac{p}{2q}}(\Omega), \\ & (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \in L^{\frac{p-q}{q}}(\Omega), \\ & (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} \in L^{\frac{p-r}{2r}}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2 + 1) \in L^m(\Omega),$$

which imply $\psi_0 \in L^{r_0}(\Omega)$. We are now in a position to use Lemma 2.8 to derive that there exists a constant c_5 , depending on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$ and on the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norm of v_n^1 , such that

$$\|v_n^1\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \leq c_5, \quad \sigma = (pr_0)^*.$$

Notice from (3.6) that v_n is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by a constant c_8 depending only on $p, q, r, k_1, k_2, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, and then the constant c_5 above can be independent of the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norm of v_n^1 , i.e., there exists a constant c_1^1 , depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

$$\|v_n^1\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \leq c_1^1, \quad \sigma = (pr_0)^*.$$

Similarly, there exist constant c_1^2 and c_1^3 , depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n^2\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} &\leq c_1^2, \quad \sigma = (pr_0)^*, \\ \|v_n^3\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} &\leq c_1^3, \quad \sigma = (pr_0)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|v_n\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \leq \|v_n^1\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} + \|v_n^2\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} + \|v_n^3\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \leq c_1^1 + c_1^2 + c_1^3 =: c_1, \quad \sigma = (pr_0)^*,$$

as desired.

Case 2. $r_0 = \frac{3}{p}$.

We start by estimating the right-hand side terms in (3.15):

$$\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx \leq \left(\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{2q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{2q}{p}} |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{2q}{p}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx \leq \left(\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{q}{p-q}}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx \leq \left(\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{2r}{p-r}} dx \right)^{\frac{2r}{p-r}} |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{2r}{p-r}}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\int_{A_{n,k}^1} (a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2 + 1) dx \leq \|a + b + c + 3k_2 + 1\|_{L^m(\Omega)} |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Combining (3.15) with (3.16)–(3.19), and recalling the definition r_0 in (1.21), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx &\leq c_{10} (|A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{2q}{p}} + |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{q}{p-q}} + |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{2r}{p-r}} + |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{1}{m}}) \\ &\leq c_{10} |A_{n,k}^1|^{1-\frac{1}{r_0}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where c_{10} is a constant depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$ and the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norm of v_n^2 and v_n^3 . Due to (3.6) again, the constant c_{10} can be depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$. For any $h > k \geq 0$, the Poincaré inequality (2.11) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{n,k}^1} |Dv_n^1|^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} |D(v_n^1 - T_k(v_n^1))|^p dx \\ &\geq \mathcal{S}^p \left(\int_{\Omega} |v_n^1 - T_k(v_n^1)|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ &= \mathcal{S}^p \left(\int_{A_{n,k}^1} |v_n^1 - T_k(v_n^1)|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ &\geq \mathcal{S}^p \left(\int_{A_{n,h}^1} |v_n^1 - T_k(v_n^1)|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ &\geq \mathcal{S}^p (h - k)^p |A_{n,h}^1|^{\frac{p}{p^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute the above inequality into (3.20) and we have that for any $h > k \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{S}^p (h - k)^p |A_{n,h}^1|^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq c_{10} |A_{n,k}^1|^{1 - \frac{1}{r_0}},$$

from which we derive

$$|A_{n,h}^1| \leq \frac{c_{11}}{(h - k)^{p^*}} |A_{n,k}^1|^{(1 - \frac{1}{r_0}) \frac{p^*}{p}}, \quad \forall h > k \geq 0, \tag{3.21}$$

where c_{11} is a constant depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$. We use the facts that

$$r_0 = \frac{3}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_0}\right) \frac{p^*}{p} = 1,$$

and we have

$$|A_{n,h}^1| \leq \frac{c_{11}}{(h - k)^{p^*}} |A_{n,k}^1|, \quad \forall h > k \geq 0.$$

Thus (2.18) holds with

$$k_0 = 0, \quad \varphi(k) = |A_{n,k}^1|, \quad c_6 = c_{11}, \quad \alpha = p^* \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1.$$

We use the Stampacchia lemma (see Lemma 2.9(ii)) to derive that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$|A_{n,k}^1| = |\{|v_n^1| > k\}| \leq |\{x \in \Omega : |u_{n,k}^1| > 0\}| e^{1 - (c_{11}e)^{-\frac{1}{p^*}} k} \leq c_{12} e^{-6c_2 k}, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$c_{12} = |\Omega|e, \quad 6c_2 = (c_{11}e)^{-\frac{1}{p^*}}. \tag{3.23}$$

From (3.22), we have that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$|\{e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} > e^{3c_2 k}\}| = |\{|v_n^1| > k\}| \leq \frac{c_{12}}{(e^{3c_2 k})^2}.$$

Let $\tilde{k} = e^{3c_2 k}$. Then for any $\tilde{k} \geq 1$,

$$|\{e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} > \tilde{k}\}| \leq \frac{c_{12}}{\tilde{k}^2}.$$

We use the above inequality and (3.23) in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} dx &= \int_0^{+\infty} |\{e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} > t\}| dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\tilde{k}}^{\tilde{k}+1} |\{e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} > t\}| dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |\Omega| + \sum_{\tilde{k}=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\tilde{k}}^{\tilde{k}+1} |\{e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} > \tilde{k}\}| dt \\
&= |\Omega| + \sum_{\tilde{k}=1}^{+\infty} |\{e^{3c_2|v_n^1|} > \tilde{k}\}| \\
&\leq |\Omega| + \sum_{\tilde{k}=1}^{+\infty} \frac{c_{12}}{\tilde{k}^2} \\
&= |\Omega| + c_{12} \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\
&= |\Omega| \left(1 + \frac{e\pi^2}{6}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can use the same method to derive

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{3c_2|v_n^2|} dx \leq |\Omega| \left(1 + \frac{e\pi^2}{6}\right)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{3c_2|v_n^3|} dx \leq |\Omega| \left(1 + \frac{e\pi^2}{6}\right).$$

Hölder's inequality gives

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{c_2|v_n|} dx = \int_{\Omega} e^{c_2(|v_n^1|+|v_n^2|+|v_n^3|)} dx \leq \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{3c_2|v_n^i|} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq |\Omega| \left(1 + \frac{e\pi^2}{6}\right).$$

Case 3. $r_0 > \frac{3}{p}$.

We start from (3.21). In this case,

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{r_0}\right) \frac{p^*}{p} > 1,$$

and (2.18) holds with

$$k_0 = 0, \quad \varphi(k) = |A_{n,k}^1|, \quad c_6 = c_{11}, \quad v\alpha = p^* \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_0}\right) \frac{p^*}{p} > 1.$$

We use the Stampacchia Lemma (see Lemma 2.9(i)) to derive that there exists a constant d , depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

$$|\{x \in \Omega : |v_n^1| \geq d\}| = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$|v_n^1| \leq d \quad \text{a.e. } \Omega.$$

Similarly, one can use the same method to derive

$$|v_n^2|, |v_n^3| \leq d \quad \text{a.e. } \Omega.$$

Hence,

$$|v_n| = |v_n^1| + |v_n^2| + |v_n^3| \leq 3d = c_3 \quad \text{a.e. } \Omega.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. \square

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we let V be as in (2.9) and the distance d be given by (2.10). Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J}$. We use Lemmas 2.6 and 2.3 and by Lemma 2.7 we derive that there

exists a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subset V$ such that (3.3)–(3.5) hold true. Lemma 2.3 implies that such a minimizing sequence is uniformly bounded, i.e., (3.6) holds true.

Let $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and we take

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} v_n^1 + \varphi \\ v_n^2 \\ v_n^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \subset W_0^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, which allows us to take the above w in (3.5) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, Dv_n^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dv_n) + H(x, \det Dv_n) \right\} dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, Dw^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dw) + H(x, \det Dw) \right\} dx + \varepsilon_n \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $x \in \Omega \setminus \text{supp}\varphi$, one has $Dw = Dv_n$ and $D\varphi = 0$, from the above inequality we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, Dv_n^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dv_n) + H(x, \det Dv_n) \right\} dx \\ & \leq \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 F^\alpha(x, Dw^\alpha) + G(x, \text{adj}_2 Dw) + H(x, \det Dw) \right\} dx \\ & \quad + \varepsilon_n \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |D\varphi| dx. \end{aligned}$$

We use the assumptions (1.15)', (1.17) and (1.18), and noticing that $Dw^2 = Dv_n^2$ and $Dw^3 = Dv_n^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx - k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx - k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\det Dv_n|^r dx - 3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} k_2 dx \\ & \leq k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dw^1|^p dx + k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^q dx + k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\det Dw|^r dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (a(x) + b(x) + c(x)) dx + \varepsilon_n \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |D\varphi| dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which, and noticing $Dw^1 = Dv_n^1 + D\varphi$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx & \leq k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx \\ & \quad + k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^q dx + k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\det Dv_n|^r dx \\ & \quad + k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\det Dw|^r dx + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |D\varphi| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} [a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2] dx \\ & = k_3 I_6 + k_1 I_7 + k_3 I_8 + k_1 I_9 + k_3 I_{10} + I_{11} + I_{12}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where we have used again $\varepsilon_n \leq 1$.

I_7 can be estimated by using (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.1 and Young's inequality, i.e.,

$$I_7 = \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^q dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^1 + |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^2 + |\text{adj}_2 Dv_n|^3)^q dx \\
&\leq 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx + 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^q (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^q dx \\
&\leq 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx + 2^q \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
&\quad + 2^q C_\varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

We use (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.1 and Young's inequality again to estimate I_8 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^q dx \\
&= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|\text{adj}_2 Dw|^1 + |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^2 + |\text{adj}_2 Dw|^3)^q dx \\
&\leq \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3| + |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi||Dv_n^3| + |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi||Dv_n^2|)^q dx \\
&\leq 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx \\
&\quad + 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^q (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^q dx \\
&\leq 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q dx + \frac{2^q q}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx \\
&\quad + \frac{2^q(p-q)}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

We use Lemma 2.1(iv) and Young's inequality to estimate I_9 and I_{10} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 &= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\det Dv_n|^r dx \\
&\leq \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^r (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^r dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{10} &= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |\det Dw|^r dx \\
&\leq \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^r (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^r dx \\
&\leq \frac{r}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + \frac{p-r}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

We estimate I_{11} as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} &= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |D\varphi| dx \\
&= \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi - Dv_n^1| dx \\
&\leq \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi| dx + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \frac{1}{p'} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} dx \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
& + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{p'} + C_\varepsilon \right) dx. \tag{3.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.25)–(3.29) into (3.24), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx & \leq k_3 \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + k_1 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| |Dv_n^3|)^q dx \\
& + k_1 2^q \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx + k_1 2^q C_\varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx \\
& + k_3 2^q \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| |Dv_n^3|)^q dx + k_3 \frac{2^q q}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx \\
& + k_3 \frac{2^q(p-q)}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dx \\
& + k_1 \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx + k_1 C_\varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx \\
& + k_3 \frac{r}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + k_3 \frac{p-r}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (|Dv_n^2| |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} dx \\
& + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
& + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{p'} + C_\varepsilon \right) dx + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} [a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2] dx \\
= & (k_1 2^q + k_1 + 1) \varepsilon \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \\
& + \left(k_3 + \frac{k_3 2^q q}{p} + \frac{k_3 r}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p dx + \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \psi_0 dx, \tag{3.30}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_0 & = (k_1 + k_3) 2^q (|Dv_n^2| |Dv_n^3|)^q \\
& + \left[k_1 2^q C_\varepsilon + k_3 \frac{2^q(p-q)}{p} \right] (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \\
& + \left[k_1 C_\varepsilon + k_3 \frac{p-r}{p} \right] (|Dv_n^2| |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{p'} + C_\varepsilon \right) + [a(x) + b(x) + c(x) + 3k_2].
\end{aligned}$$

We take ε small enough such that

$$(k_1 2^q + k_1 + 1) \varepsilon < k_1,$$

and then the first term on the right-hand side of (3.30) is absorbed by the left-hand side. Thus, (3.30) yields

$$\int_{\text{supp}\varphi} |Dv_n^1|^p dx \leq c_{13} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} \{ |Dv_n^1 + D\varphi|^p + \psi_0 \} dx,$$

where c_{13} is a constant depending only on p, q, r, k_1, k_2 and k_3 .

What we need to show next is

$$\psi_0 \in L^{r_0}(\Omega), \quad 1 < r_0 < \frac{3}{p}. \tag{3.31}$$

In fact, by the conditions (1.11)–(1.15) and $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^q &\in L^{\frac{p}{2q}}(\Omega), \\ (|Dv_n^2| + |Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} &\in L^{\frac{p-q}{q}}(\Omega), \\ (|Dv_n^2||Dv_n^3|)^{\frac{pr}{p-r}} &\in L^{\frac{p-r}{2r}}(\Omega), \\ a(x) + b(x) + c(x) &\in L^m(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

The above inclusions together with the definition of r_0 in (1.21) imply (3.31) (we remark that the condition $r_0 < \frac{3}{p}$ is irrelevant because if $\psi_0 \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $r \geq \frac{3}{p}$, then we also have $\psi_0 \in L^{r_0}(\Omega)$ and $1 < r_0 < \frac{3}{p}$). We are now in a position to use Lemma 2.10 to derive that there exist constants $\delta, c_7 > 0$, depending on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3$ and $|\Omega|$ and on the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norm of v_n^1 , such that

$$\|\nabla v_n^1\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq c_7(\|\nabla v_n^1\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\psi_0\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)} + 1) \leq c_4^1.$$

We use (3.6) again and we know that the constants δ, c_7 and c_4^1 above are depending only on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$.

Similarly, there exist constants $c_4^2, c_4^3 > 0$, depending on $p, q, r, m, k_1, k_2, k_3, |\Omega|$ and $\|a + b + c\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, such that

$$\|\nabla v_n^2\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq c_4^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla v_n^3\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq c_4^3.$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\nabla v_n^i\|_{L^{p+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 c_4^i =: c_4.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we obtain some regularity properties for minimizing sequences of the variational integral (1.1) with the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ being as (1.2). Meanwhile, we prove uniform higher integrability for the gradients of minimizing sequences of the variational integral (1.1) with the integrand $f(x, \xi)$ being as (1.3). It is worthwhile to note that we do not need any convexity assumptions on the integrands via the Ekeland variational principle. So we weaken the assumptions compared with the ones of preceding results. Moreover, it is also interesting to study Hölder continuity for minimizing sequences as well as other further regularity properties for gradients of minimizing sequences of the variational integral (1.1) in the future.

Acknowledgements The first author was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071021), National Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. A2019201120) and the Key Science and Technology Project of Higher School of Hebei Province (Grant No. ZD2021307). The second author was supported by the Postgraduate Innovation Project of Hebei Province (Grant No. CXZZSS2020005). The third author was supported by National Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. A2018201285), Science and Technology Project of Hebei Education Department (Grant No. QN2020145) and Research Funds of Hebei University (Grant No. 8012605). The authors thank the referees for their helpful suggestions and comments.

References

- 1 Acerbi E, Fusco N. A regularity theorem for minimizers of quasiconvex integrals. Arch Ration Mech Anal, 1987, 99: 261–281
- 2 Alvino A, Boccardo L, Ferone V, et al. Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity. Ann Mat Pura Appl (4), 2003, 182: 53–79
- 3 Ball J M. Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. Arch Ration Mech Anal, 1997, 63: 337–403

- 4 Bauman P, Owen N, Phillips D. Maximum principles and a priori estimates for a class of problems from nonlinear elasticity. *Ann Inst H Poincaré Anal Non Linéaire*, 1991, 8: 119–157
- 5 Bauman P, Owen N, Phillips D. Maximum principles and a priori estimates for an incompressible material in nonlinear elasticity. *Comm Partial Differential Equations*, 1992, 17: 1185–1212
- 6 Bauman P, Phillips D. Univalent minimizers of polyconvex functionals in two dimensions. *Arch Ration Mech Anal*, 1994, 126: 161–181
- 7 Bauman P, Phillips D, Owen N. Maximal smoothness of solutions to certain Euler-Lagrange equations from nonlinear elasticity. *Proc Edinb Math Soc (2)*, 1991, 119: 241–263
- 8 Boccardo L, Croce G. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 55. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2014
- 9 Boccardo L, Ferone V, Fusco N, et al. Regularity of minimizing sequences for functionals of the calculus of variations via Ekeland principle. *Differential Integral Equations*, 1999, 12: 119–135
- 10 Boccardo L, Gallouët T, Marcellini P. Anisotropic equations in L^1 . *Differential Integral Equations*, 1996, 9: 209–212
- 11 Boccardo L, Giachetti D. Existence results via regularity for some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Comm Partial Differential Equations*, 1989, 14: 663–680
- 12 Carozza M, di Napoli A P. Model problems from nonlinear elasticity: Partial regularity results. *ESAIM Control Optim Calc Var*, 2007, 13: 120–134
- 13 Carozza M, Gao H, Giova R, et al. A boundedness result for minimizers of some polyconvex integrals. *J Optim Theory Appl*, 2018, 178: 699–725
- 14 Cirimi G, Leonardi S. Regularity results for the gradient of solutions linear elliptic equations with $L^{1,\lambda}$ data. *Ann Mat Pura Appl (4)*, 2006, 185: 537–553
- 15 Cupini G, Focardi M, Leonetti F, et al. On the Hölder continuity for a class of vectorial problems. *Adv Nonlinear Anal*, 2020, 9: 1008–1025
- 16 Cupini G, Leonetti F, Mascolo E. Local boundedness for minimizers of some polyconvex integrals. *Arch Ration Mech Anal*, 2017, 224: 269–289
- 17 Dacorogna B. *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 78. Cham: Springer, 1989
- 18 De Giorgi E. Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico. *Boll Unione Mat Ital*, 1968, 1: 135–137
- 19 di Napoli A P. A regularity result for a class of polyconvex functionals. *Ric Mat*, 1999, 48: 379–393
- 20 D’Ottavio A, Leonetti F, Musciano C. Maximum principle for vector-valued mappings minimizing variational integrals. *Atti Sem Mat Fis Univ Modena*, 1998, 46: 677–683
- 21 Ekeland I. Sur les problèmes variationnels. *C R Math Acad Sci Paris*, 1972, 275: 1057–1059
- 22 Ekeland I. On the variational principle. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1974, 47: 324–353
- 23 Ekeland I. Nonconvex minimization problems. *Bull Amer Math Soc (NS)*, 1979, 1: 443–474
- 24 Esposito L, Mingione G. Partial regularity for minimizers of degenerate polyconvex energies. *J Convex Anal*, 2001, 8: 1–38
- 25 Fuchs M, Reuling G. Partial regularity for certain classes of polyconvex functionals related to nonlinear elasticity. *Manuscripta Math*, 1995, 87: 13–26
- 26 Fuchs M, Seregin G. Hölder continuity for weak extremals of some two-dimensional variational problems related to nonlinear elasticity. *Adv Math Sci Appl*, 1997, 7: 413–425
- 27 Fusco N, Hutchinson J. Partial regularity and everywhere continuity for a model problem from non-linear elasticity. *J Aust Math Soc*, 1994, 57: 158–169
- 28 Fusco N, Hutchinson J. A direct proof for lower semicontinuity of polyconvex functionals. *Manuscripta Math*, 1995, 87: 35–50
- 29 Gao H, Deng H, Huang M, et al. Generalizations of Stampacchia lemma and applications to quasilinear elliptic systems. *Nonlinear Anal*, 2021, 208: 112297
- 30 Gao H, Di Q, Ma D. Integrability for solutions to some anisotropic obstacle problems. *Manuscripta Math*, 2015, 146: 433–444
- 31 Gao H, Huang M, Ren W. Regularity for entropy solutions to degenerate elliptic equations. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2020, 491: 124251
- 32 Gao H, Huang M, Ren W. Global regularity for minimizers of some anisotropic variational integrals. *J Optim Theory Appl*, 2021, 188: 523–546
- 33 Gao H, Leonetti F, Macri M, et al. Regularity for minimizers with positive Jacobian. *Appl Anal*, 2020, 99: 496–507
- 34 Gao H, Leonetti F, Wang L. Remarks on Stampacchia Lemma. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2018, 458: 112–122
- 35 Gao H, Liu C, Tian H. Remarks on a paper by Leonetti and Siepe. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2013, 401: 881–887
- 36 Giusti E. *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*. Singapore: World Scientific, 2003
- 37 Hamburger C. Partial regularity of minimizers of polyconvex variational integrals. *Calc Var Partial Differential*

- Equations, 2003, 18: 221–241
- 38 Innamorati A, Leonetti F. Global integrability for weak solutions to some anisotropic elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal*, 2015, 113: 430–434
- 39 Kilpelinen T, Li G. Estimates for p -Poisson equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 2000, 13: 791–800
- 40 Kovalevsky A. Integrability and boundedness of solutions to some anisotropic problems. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2015, 432: 820–843
- 41 Kovalevsky A, Voitovich M. On the improvement of summability of generalized solutions of the Dirichlet problem for nonlinear equations of the fourth order with strengthened ellipticity. *Ukrainian Math J*, 2006, 58: 1717–1733
- 42 Kristensen J, Mingione G. *Sketches of Regularity Theory from the 20th Century and the Work of Jindrich Nečas. Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics.* Basel: Birkhäuser/Springer, 2015
- 43 Leonetti F. Maximum principle for vector-valued minimizers of some integral functionals. *Boll Unione Mat Ital*, 1991, 5: 51–56
- 44 Leonetti F. Pointwise estimates for a model problem in nonlinear elasticity. *Forum Math*, 2006, 18: 529–534
- 45 Leonetti F, Macrí M, Petricca P. Finding nicer mappings with lower or equal energy in nonlinear elasticity. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2021, 501: 123918
- 46 Leonetti F, Petricca P. Bounds for some minimizing sequences of functionals. *Adv Calc Var*, 2011, 4: 83–100
- 47 Leonetti F, Petricca P. Bounds for vector valued minimizers of some relaxed functionals. *Complex Var Elliptic Equ*, 2013, 58: 221–230
- 48 Leonetti F, Siepe F. Bounds for vector valued minimizers of some integral functionals. *Ric Mat*, 2005, 54: 303–312
- 49 Leonetti F, Siepe F. Integrability for solutions to some anisotropic elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal*, 2012, 75: 2867–2873
- 50 Leonetti F, Siepe F. Global integrability for minimizers of anisotropic functionals. *Manuscripta Math*, 2014, 144: 91–98
- 51 Leonetti F, Siepe F. Maximum principle for vector-valued minimizers of some integral functionals. *J Convex Anal*, 2015, 12: 267–278
- 52 Marcellini P. Growth conditions and regularity for weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic pdes. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2021, 501: 124408
- 53 Mingione G. Regularity of minima: An invitation to the dark side of the calculus of variations. *Appl Math*, 2006, 51: 355
- 54 Ragusa M, Tachikawa A. On interior regularity of minimizers of $p(x)$ -energy functionals. *Nonlinear Anal*, 2013, 93: 162–167
- 55 Stampacchia G. Équations elliptiques du second ordre á coefficients discontinus. *Séminaire Jean Leray*, 1963, 1963: 1–77
- 56 Wang J, Zhu M, Gao S, et al. Regularity for sub-elliptic systems with VMO-coefficients in the Heisenberg group: The sub-quadratic structure case. *Adv Nonlinear Anal*, 2021, 10: 420–449